## Computing transport maps by cumulant matching

#### Stephen Huan

https://cgdct.moe

#### 2024-03-15 group meeting

#### Overview

Fast inference in Gaussian processes

Scientific applications at exascale

Towards general preconditioners

Transport by cumulant matching

Part 1: Fast inference in Gaussian processes

Gaussian process (GP) modeling  $f \sim \mathcal{GP}(\mu(\cdot), K(\cdot, \cdot))$ 

Gaussian process (GP) modeling  $f \sim \mathcal{GP}(\mu(\cdot), K(\cdot, \cdot))$ 

Posterior predictions

$$\mathbb{E}[\boldsymbol{y}_{\mathsf{Pr}} \mid \boldsymbol{y}_{\mathsf{Tr}}] = \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathsf{Pr}} + \boldsymbol{\Theta}_{\mathsf{Pr},\mathsf{Tr}}\boldsymbol{\Theta}_{\mathsf{Tr},\mathsf{Tr}}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{y}_{\mathsf{Tr}} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathsf{Tr}})$$
$$\mathbb{C}\mathrm{ov}[\boldsymbol{y}_{\mathsf{Pr}} \mid \boldsymbol{y}_{\mathsf{Tr}}] = \boldsymbol{\Theta}_{\mathsf{Pr},\mathsf{Pr}} - \boldsymbol{\Theta}_{\mathsf{Pr},\mathsf{Tr}}\boldsymbol{\Theta}_{\mathsf{Tr},\mathsf{Tr}}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\Theta}_{\mathsf{Tr},\mathsf{Pr}}$$

 $\mathsf{Likelihood} \ -2\log\eta(\boldsymbol{y}) = \mathrm{logdet}(\Theta) + \boldsymbol{y}^\top \Theta^{-1} \boldsymbol{y} + N\log(2\pi)$ 

Sampling  $\boldsymbol{z} \sim \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{0}, \mathsf{Id}), L^{-\top} \boldsymbol{z} + \boldsymbol{\mu} \sim \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Theta})$ 

Gaussian process (GP) modeling  $f \sim \mathcal{GP}(\mu(\cdot), K(\cdot, \cdot))$ 

Posterior predictions

$$\mathbb{E}[\boldsymbol{y}_{\mathsf{Pr}} \mid \boldsymbol{y}_{\mathsf{Tr}}] = \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathsf{Pr}} + \boldsymbol{\Theta}_{\mathsf{Pr},\mathsf{Tr}}\boldsymbol{\Theta}_{\mathsf{Tr},\mathsf{Tr}}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{y}_{\mathsf{Tr}} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathsf{Tr}})$$
$$\mathbb{C}\mathrm{ov}[\boldsymbol{y}_{\mathsf{Pr}} \mid \boldsymbol{y}_{\mathsf{Tr}}] = \boldsymbol{\Theta}_{\mathsf{Pr},\mathsf{Pr}} - \boldsymbol{\Theta}_{\mathsf{Pr},\mathsf{Tr}}\boldsymbol{\Theta}_{\mathsf{Tr},\mathsf{Tr}}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\Theta}_{\mathsf{Tr},\mathsf{Pr}}$$

Likelihood  $-2\log \eta(\boldsymbol{y}) = \operatorname{logdet}(\Theta) + \boldsymbol{y}^{\top} \Theta^{-1} \boldsymbol{y} + N \log(2\pi)$ 

Sampling  $\boldsymbol{z} \sim \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{0}, \mathsf{Id}), L^{-\top} \boldsymbol{z} + \boldsymbol{\mu} \sim \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Theta})$ 

Direct computation scales as  $\mathcal{O}(N^3)$ , limiting data size (10<sup>4</sup>)

# Statistical Cholesky factorization

Cholesky factorization  $\Leftrightarrow$  iterative conditioning of process

$$\begin{split} L &= \operatorname{chol}(\Theta^{-1}) \\ - \frac{L_{i,j}}{L_{j,j}} &= \frac{\mathbb{C}\operatorname{ov}[y_i, y_j \mid y_{k > j, k \neq i}]}{\mathbb{V}\operatorname{ar}[y_j \mid y_{k > j, k \neq i}]} \end{split}$$

### Statistical Cholesky factorization

Cholesky factorization  $\Leftrightarrow$  iterative conditioning of process

$$L = \operatorname{chol}(\Theta^{-1})$$
$$-\frac{L_{i,j}}{L_{j,j}} = \frac{\mathbb{C}\operatorname{ov}[y_i, y_j \mid y_{k>j, k\neq i}]}{\mathbb{V}\operatorname{ar}[y_j \mid y_{k>j, k\neq i}]}$$

Conditional (near)-independence  $\Leftrightarrow$  (approximate) sparsity

# Screening effect



Conditional on points near a point of interest, far away points are almost independent [Stein 2002]

# Screening effect



Conditional on points near a point of interest, far away points are almost independent [Stein 2002]

Suggests space-covering ordering and selecting nearby points

## Cholesky factorization recipe

Procedure for computing  $LL^{\top} \approx \Theta^{-1}$ 

- 1. Pick an ordering on the rows/columns of  $\Theta$
- 2. Select a sparsity pattern lower triangular w.r.t. ordering
- 3. Compute entries by minimizing objective over all factors

(Reverse) maximin ordering [Guinness 2018] selects the next point  $x_i$  with largest distance  $\ell_i$  to points selected before



(Reverse) maximin ordering [Guinness 2018] selects the next point  $x_i$  with largest distance  $\ell_i$  to points selected before



(Reverse) maximin ordering [Guinness 2018] selects the next point  $x_i$  with largest distance  $\ell_i$  to points selected before



(Reverse) maximin ordering [Guinness 2018] selects the next point  $x_i$  with largest distance  $\ell_i$  to points selected before



(Reverse) maximin ordering [Guinness 2018] selects the next point  $x_i$  with largest distance  $\ell_i$  to points selected before



(Reverse) maximin ordering [Guinness 2018] selects the next point  $x_i$  with largest distance  $\ell_i$  to points selected before



(Reverse) maximin ordering [Guinness 2018] selects the next point  $x_i$  with largest distance  $\ell_i$  to points selected before



(Reverse) maximin ordering [Guinness 2018] selects the next point  $x_i$  with largest distance  $\ell_i$  to points selected before



(Reverse) maximin ordering [Guinness 2018] selects the next point  $x_i$  with largest distance  $\ell_i$  to points selected before



(Reverse) maximin ordering [Guinness 2018] selects the next point  $x_i$  with largest distance  $\ell_i$  to points selected before



(Reverse) maximin ordering [Guinness 2018] selects the next point  $x_i$  with largest distance  $\ell_i$  to points selected before



(Reverse) maximin ordering [Guinness 2018] selects the next point  $x_i$  with largest distance  $\ell_i$  to points selected before



(Reverse) maximin ordering [Guinness 2018] selects the next point  $x_i$  with largest distance  $\ell_i$  to points selected before



(Reverse) maximin ordering [Guinness 2018] selects the next point  $x_i$  with largest distance  $\ell_i$  to points selected before



(Reverse) maximin ordering [Guinness 2018] selects the next point  $x_i$  with largest distance  $\ell_i$  to points selected before



(Reverse) maximin ordering [Guinness 2018] selects the next point  $x_i$  with largest distance  $\ell_i$  to points selected before



# Kullback-Leibler minimization

Compute entries by minimizing Kullback-Leibler divergence

$$L \coloneqq \operatorname*{argmin}_{\hat{L} \in \mathcal{S}} \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{KL}} \Big( \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \Theta) \ \Big\| \ \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, (\hat{L}\hat{L}^{\top})^{-1}) \Big)$$

### Kullback-Leibler minimization

Compute entries by minimizing Kullback-Leibler divergence

$$L \coloneqq \operatorname*{argmin}_{\hat{L} \in \mathcal{S}} \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{KL}} \Big( \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \Theta) \ \Big\| \ \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, (\hat{L}\hat{L}^{\top})^{-1}) \Big)$$

Efficient and embarrassingly parallel closed-form solution

$$L_{s_i,i} = \frac{\Theta_{s_i,s_i}^{-1} \boldsymbol{e}_1}{\sqrt{\boldsymbol{e}_1^\top \Theta_{s_i,s_i}^{-1} \boldsymbol{e}_1}}$$

#### Kullback-Leibler minimization

Compute entries by minimizing Kullback-Leibler divergence

$$L \coloneqq \operatorname*{argmin}_{\hat{L} \in \mathcal{S}} \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{KL}} \Big( \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \Theta) \ \Big\| \ \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, (\hat{L}\hat{L}^{\top})^{-1}) \Big)$$

Efficient and embarrassingly parallel closed-form solution

$$L_{s_i,i} = \frac{\Theta_{s_i,s_i}^{-1} \boldsymbol{e}_1}{\sqrt{\boldsymbol{e}_1^\top \Theta_{s_i,s_i}^{-1} \boldsymbol{e}_1}}$$

Achieves state of the art  $\varepsilon$ -accuracy in time complexity  $\mathcal{O}\left(N\log^{2d}\left(\frac{N}{\varepsilon}\right)\right)$  with  $\mathcal{O}\left(N\log^{d}\left(\frac{N}{\varepsilon}\right)\right)$  nonzero entries [Schäfer, Katzfuss, and Owhadi 2021]

Plug optimal  $\boldsymbol{L}$  back into the KL divergence

$$\mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{KL}}\left(\Theta \mid | (LL^{\top})^{-1}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[\log\left(\Theta_{i,i|s_i \setminus \{i\}}\right) - \log\left(\Theta_{i,i|i+1:}\right)\right]$$

Plug optimal L back into the KL divergence

$$\mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{KL}}\left(\Theta \parallel (LL^{\top})^{-1}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[\log\left(\Theta_{i,i|s_i \setminus \{i\}}\right) - \log\left(\Theta_{i,i|i+1}\right)\right]$$

KL is accumulated error over independent regression problems

Plug optimal L back into the KL divergence

$$\mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{KL}}\left(\Theta \parallel (LL^{\top})^{-1}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[\log\left(\Theta_{i,i|s_i \setminus \{i\}}\right) - \log\left(\Theta_{i,i|i+1}\right)\right]$$

KL is accumulated error over independent regression problems

Goal: minimize posterior variance of *i*-th prediction point by selecting training points  $s_i$  most informative to that point

Plug optimal L back into the KL divergence

$$\mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{KL}}\left(\Theta \parallel (LL^{\top})^{-1}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[\log\left(\Theta_{i,i|s_i \setminus \{i\}}\right) - \log\left(\Theta_{i,i|i+1:}\right)\right]$$

KL is accumulated error over independent regression problems

Goal: minimize posterior variance of *i*-th prediction point by selecting training points  $s_i$  most informative to that point

Variance  $\Leftrightarrow$  mutual information  $\Leftrightarrow$  mean squared error

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{H}[\boldsymbol{y}_{\mathsf{Pr}}] &= \mathbb{H}[\boldsymbol{y}_{\mathsf{Pr}} \mid \boldsymbol{y}_{\mathsf{Tr}}] + \mathbb{I}[\boldsymbol{y}_{\mathsf{Pr}}; \boldsymbol{y}_{\mathsf{Tr}}] \\ \mathbb{V}\mathrm{ar}[\boldsymbol{y}_{\mathsf{Pr}}] &= \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{V}\mathrm{ar}[\boldsymbol{y}_{\mathsf{Pr}} \mid \boldsymbol{y}_{\mathsf{Tr}}]] + \mathbb{V}\mathrm{ar}[\mathbb{E}[\boldsymbol{y}_{\mathsf{Pr}} \mid \boldsymbol{y}_{\mathsf{Tr}}]] \end{split}$$

https://cgdct.moe/projects/cholesky/

Part 2: Scientific applications at exascale

Non-ergodic ground-motion models [Lavrentiadis et al. 2022] estimate the probability an earthquake exceeds a fixed intensity

Non-ergodic ground-motion models [Lavrentiadis et al. 2022] estimate the probability an earthquake exceeds a fixed intensity

Ergodic refers to assumption of translation invariance

Non-ergodic ground-motion models [Lavrentiadis et al. 2022] estimate the probability an earthquake exceeds a fixed intensity

Ergodic refers to assumption of translation invariance

Gaussian process modeling provides uncertainty quantification

Non-ergodic ground-motion models [Lavrentiadis et al. 2022] estimate the probability an earthquake exceeds a fixed intensity

Ergodic refers to assumption of translation invariance

Gaussian process modeling provides uncertainty quantification

Seismic hazard at nuclear power plant locations

# Kernel function

#### Use kernel

 $c_1(t_E) + c_2(t_S) + X_3c_3(t_E, t_S) + \left[\Delta R \cdot c_{\mathsf{ca}}(t_C)\right] + \delta W + \delta B$ 

#### where

- $c_1$  models earthquake interactions
- c<sub>2</sub> models site (receiver) interactions
- X<sub>3</sub> is the geometric scaling spreading
- c<sub>3</sub> models the interaction between earthquakes and sites
- $\Delta R$  is a cell path distance array
- c<sub>ca</sub> models cell-specific path attenuation
- δW is a noise nugget
- $\delta B$  is noise shared within the same earthquake event

## Kernels on paths

For 
$$f \sim \mathcal{GP}(\mathbf{0},k)$$
, define  $\widetilde{f} = \int_0^1 f(\boldsymbol{x} + t(\boldsymbol{x}' - \boldsymbol{x})) \, \mathrm{d}t$ 

### Kernels on paths

For 
$$f \sim \mathcal{GP}(\mathbf{0},k)$$
, define  $\widetilde{f} = \int_0^1 f(\boldsymbol{x} + t(\boldsymbol{x}' - \boldsymbol{x})) \, \mathrm{d}t$ 

Linear transformation of a GP is also a GP

### Kernels on paths

For 
$$f \sim \mathcal{GP}(\mathbf{0},k)$$
, define  $\widetilde{f} = \int_0^1 f(\boldsymbol{x} + t(\boldsymbol{x}' - \boldsymbol{x})) \, \mathrm{d}t$ 

Linear transformation of a GP is also a GP

It has covariance

$$\widetilde{k}(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{x}',\boldsymbol{y},\boldsymbol{y}') = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 k(\boldsymbol{x} + t(\boldsymbol{x}' - \boldsymbol{x}),\boldsymbol{y} + s(\boldsymbol{y}' - \boldsymbol{y})) \,\mathrm{d}t \,\mathrm{d}s$$

which creates "paths" in the 2-d input space.





#### Screening effect motivated by geometric considerations

Screening effect motivated by geometric considerations

Maximin ordering worse than random for spatial dimension  $\geq 4$ 

Nearest neighbors unclear for paths

Screening effect motivated by geometric considerations

Maximin ordering worse than random for spatial dimension  $\geq 4$ 

Nearest neighbors unclear for paths

Quick fix: correlation distance

$$\mathsf{dist}(p,q)\coloneqq \sqrt{1-|\rho|}$$

Screening effect motivated by geometric considerations

Maximin ordering worse than random for spatial dimension  $\geq 4$ 

Nearest neighbors unclear for paths

Quick fix: correlation distance

$$\mathsf{dist}(p,q)\coloneqq \sqrt{1-|\rho|}$$

Information-theoretic orderings?





https://kolesky.cgdct.moe/

Part 3: Towards general preconditioners

## Sparse versus low rank

Sparsity doesn't scale to high dimension

"All high dimensional data is low rank"

Best-of-both-worlds approximation?

## Towards geometry-free Cholesky factors

RPCholesky [Chen et al. 2023] + random ordering

RPCholesky + nearest neighbors + random candidate sets

Conditional selection sparsity pattern [Huan et al. 2023]

Automatic interpolation between low rank/sparse

# Summary

Applications to GPs, optimal transport, optimization, etc.

Implications for algorithmic design

Automation essential from a user perspective

User-friendly software libraries (Cython, JAX, Julia)

Part 4: Transport by cumulant matching

## Lattice theory

A partially ordered set is a set X equipped with a partial order

 $\leq \subseteq X \times X.$ 

# Lattice theory

A partially ordered set is a set X equipped with a partial order

$$\leq \subseteq X \times X.$$

The relation  $\leq$  satisfies

- 1. Reflexivity:  $x \leq x$ .
- 2. Antisymmetry: If  $x \leq y$  and  $y \leq x$ , x = y.
- 3. Transitivity: If  $x \leq y$  and  $y \leq z$ ,  $x \leq y$ .

## Lattice theory

A partially ordered set is a set X equipped with a partial order

$$\leq \subseteq X \times X.$$

The relation  $\leq$  satisfies

- 1. Reflexivity:  $x \leq x$ .
- 2. Antisymmetry: If  $x \leq y$  and  $y \leq x$ , x = y.
- 3. Transitivity: If  $x \leq y$  and  $y \leq z$ ,  $x \leq y$ .

A *lattice*  $\mathcal{L}$  has the extra property that for  $a, b \in \mathcal{L}$ , there are unique greatest lower and least upper bounds  $a \wedge b, a \vee b \in \mathcal{L}$ .

#### Partition lattice



Figure: Partition lattice:  $a \leq b$  if a is a sub-partition of b.

# Lattice calculus

#### For a lattice function $f : \mathcal{L} \to \mathbb{R}$ , define the *integral*

$$F(a) = \sum_{b \le a} f(b).$$

### Lattice calculus

For a lattice function  $f : \mathcal{L} \to \mathbb{R}$ , define the *integral* 

$$F(a) = \sum_{b \le a} f(b).$$

Formal inverse the *derivative* 

$$f(a) = \sum_{b \le a} m(b, a) F(b),$$

where m is the *Möbius function* of the lattice.

### Möbius function of the partition lattice

On the partition lattice, it can be shown that

$$m(\sigma, \mathbf{1}) = (-1)^{\#\sigma - 1} (\#\sigma - 1)!.$$

## Möbius function of the partition lattice

On the partition lattice, it can be shown that

$$m(\sigma, \mathbf{1}) = (-1)^{\#\sigma - 1} (\#\sigma - 1)!.$$

In addition, for  $\sigma \leq \tau$  we have the isomorphism

$$[\sigma,\tau] \cong \bigotimes_{b\in\tau} \Upsilon_b$$

inspiring the formula

$$\prod_{b\in\tau}m(\mathbf{0}_b,\mathbf{1}_b).$$

### Möbius function of the partition lattice

On the partition lattice, it can be shown that

$$m(\sigma, \mathbf{1}) = (-1)^{\#\sigma - 1} (\#\sigma - 1)!.$$

In addition, for  $\sigma \leq \tau$  we have the isomorphism

$$[\sigma,\tau] \cong \bigotimes_{b\in\tau} \Upsilon_b$$

inspiring the formula

$$\prod_{b\in\tau}m(\mathbf{0}_b,\mathbf{1}_b).$$

Note that  $m(\sigma, \tau) = 0$  if both  $\sigma \not\leq \tau$  and  $\tau \not\leq \sigma$ .

#### Statistical interpretation

If f is the cumulant product

$$f(\tau) = \kappa(\tau_1) \cdots \kappa(\tau_{\nu}),$$

then the integral F is the moment product

$$F(\tau) = \mu(\tau_1) \cdots \mu(\tau_{\nu}).$$

#### Statistical interpretation

If f is the cumulant product

$$f(\tau) = \kappa(\tau_1) \cdots \kappa(\tau_{\nu}),$$

then the integral F is the moment product

$$F(\tau) = \mu(\tau_1) \cdots \mu(\tau_{\nu}).$$

The generalized cumulants  $g(\tau)=\kappa(\tau)$  are given by

$$g(\tau) = \sum_{\sigma: \sigma \lor \tau = 1} f(\sigma).$$

(1)	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
1		1	1	1	1	1	1			1		1	1	
1	1		1	1	1	1	1		1		1		1	
1	1	1		1	1	1	1	1			1	1		
1	1	1	1		1	1	1	1	1	1				
1	1	1	1	1		1	1		1	1	1	1		
1	1	1	1	1	1		1	1		1	1		1	
1	1	1	1	1	1	1		1	1			1	1	
1			1	1		1	1							
1		1		1	1		1							
1	1			1	1	1								
1		1	1		1	1								
1	1		1		1		1							
1	1	1				1	1							
$\backslash 1$														)

# References I

- Chen, Yifan et al. (Feb. 2023). Randomly Pivoted Cholesky: Practical Approximation of a Kernel Matrix with Few Entry Evaluations. DOI: 10.48550/arXiv.2207.06503. arXiv: 2207.06503 [cs, math, stat].
- Guinness, Joseph (Oct. 2018). "Permutation and Grouping Methods for Sharpening Gaussian Process Approximations". In: *Technometrics* 60.4, pp. 415–429. ISSN: 0040-1706, 1537-2723. DOI: 10.1080/00401706.2018.1437476. arXiv: 1609.05372 [stat].
- Huan, Stephen et al. (July 2023). Sparse Cholesky Factorization by Greedy Conditional Selection. DOI: 10.48550/arXiv.2307.11648. arXiv: 2307.11648 [cs,
  - math, stat].

# References II

Lavrentiadis, Grigorios et al. (Aug. 2022). "Overview and Introduction to Development of Non-Ergodic Earthquake Ground-Motion Models". In: Bulletin of Earthquake Engineering. ISSN: 1573-1456. DOI: 10.1007/s10518-022-01485-x. Schäfer, Florian, Matthias Katzfuss, and Houman Owhadi (Oct. 2021). "Sparse Cholesky Factorization by Kullback-Leibler Minimization". In: arXiv:2004.14455 [cs, math, stat]. arXiv: 2004.14455 [cs, math, stat]. Stein, Michael L. (Feb. 2002). "The Screening Effect in Kriging". In: The Annals of Statistics 30.1, pp. 298–323. ISSN: 0090-5364, 2168-8966, DOI: 10.1214/aos/1015362194,